

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,894	02/13/2007	Yoshinobu Nakajima	283009US0PCT	2360
OBLON SPIV	7590 05/25/201 'AK MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			MOORE, WALTER A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1789	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Notice of Abandonment	10/560,894	NAKAJIMA ET AL.					
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	WALTER MOORE	1789					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover cheet with the correspondence address.							

	WALTER MOORE	1789				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the	expiration of the			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t	the final rejection			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 	5).	•				
 (a)						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$_				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of			
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. 						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for see	eking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
On 4/20/2011, Patricia Warhurst from Oblon, Spival been filed.	k, McClelland, Maier, and Neusta	dt confirmed no re	esponse has			
WM/ Walter Moore, Examiner AU 1789	/Chhaya Sayala/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 1781				
0.000		050 4 404 -1 -141				

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)